

Message

From: Salemi, Charles (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CHARLES.SALEMI]
Sent: 5/3/2011 3:56:41 PM
To: Feeney, Matthew (SUF) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Matthew.Feeney]
CC: Khan, Annie (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Annie.Khan]; Nassif, Julianne (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Julianne.Nassif]
Subject: RE: Retesting

Hi ADA Feeney, The Laboratory is getting many requests for the retesting of samples that were done by chemists who are no longer with the Laboratory. The Laboratory policy in these cases is that if a second chemist who was involved in the analysis is available, then that chemist will testify to the notes of the missing chemist. Unfortunately, this issue has become a problem for all the parties involved in the prosecution of drug cases. I hope this situation can be resolved. Take care.

Charles Salemi
Laboratory Supervisor
DPH Drug Analysis Laboratory

-----Original Message-----

From: Khan, Annie (DPH)
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2011 10:48 AM
To: Feeney, Matthew (SUF)
Cc: Salemi, Charles (DPH)
Subject: RE: Retesting

Hi Matt,

I have cc'd the Lab Supervisor in this email to address the matter of re-testing of samples.

Chuck, at your convenience, could you please address this situation?

Thanks.

Annie
Drug Analysis Lab
617-983-6631 (work)
617-983-6625 (fax)

-----Original Message-----

From: Feeney, Matthew (SUF)
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2011 9:52 AM
To: Khan, Annie (DPH)
Subject: RE:

Annie,

I looked over ther file and it appears that you were the confirmatory tester. That could be a problem at trial without having the primary. What would be your best guess as to how long it would take to have the items retested?

- Matt

-----Original Message-----

From: Khan, Annie (DPH)
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2011 6:24 PM
To: Feeney, Matthew (SUF)
Subject: RE:

Matt,

Stacey has left the Drug Lab in Feb and is unavailable to testify.

As for my schedule that week, I have no idea at this time. But put me On Call and we will figure it put.

Thanks.

Annie

From: Feeney, Matthew (SUF)
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2011 6:08 PM
To: Feiden, Stacey (DPH); Khan, Annie (DPH)
Subject:

Stacey / Annie,

Two summonses were faxed to you today for a trial that is scheduled to start on May 10, 2011 in Suffolk Superior. The case pertains to [REDACTED]. I expect that your testimony would most likely be required on May 11, but could possibly be on May 12. When you get a chance, would you please let me know how your schedules are looking for those days? I will do my best to accomodate your schedules and will call witnesses out of order if necessary. Thanks.

- Matt